Extended Abstract Please do not add your name or affiliation

| Paper Title | Geographic networks matter for pro-environmental waste disposal behaviors in Rural China: Bayesian estimation of a spatial probit model |
|-------------|---|
|-------------|---|

Abstract prepared for presentation at the 97th Annual Conference of the Agricultural Economics Society, The University of Warwick, United Kingdom

27th – 29th March 2023

| Abstract | | 200 words max |
|--|---|---|
| pro-environmental waste disposi influence the behavior of others believed that geographic network self-behavior even in the absend networks contribute to waste d networks from social networks an indicators (i.e., domestic waste se estimation of a spatial autoregree four waste disposal behaviors in detected in the case of sewage of decrease as the distance amon | increased social, political and academic interest in the inf sal behaviors. Researchers generally acknowledged that via sharing information. However, given the theory of bel- ks provide channels to directly observe the behavior of other ce of social networks. Despite this fact, a systematic analy isposal behavior is still lacking. Therefore, this study dis nd investigates the impact of geographic networks on four v porting, agricultural waste disposal, sewage collection, toilet is ssive probit model. The empirical results confirm that geo a significantly positive way, while the positive impact of ollection and toilet retrofitting. Besides, the effect of geogra ng samples increases. Furthermore, taking spatial heter r indicators respond differently to household background c | t social networks can navioral contagion, it is ers and to further adapt ysis of how geographic stinguishes geographic vaste disposal behavior retrofitting) by Bayesian graphic networks affect social networks is only phic networks does not ogeneity into account, |
| Keywords | Rural waste treatment; Influencing mechanism; Geographic networks; Spatial autoregressive probit model | |
| JEL Code | C11; C31; C83; D9; Q53 | |
| Introduction | | 100 – 250 words |
| world's largest waste generat million tons of rural solid was (World Bank Group, 2019). M and 2.1 kg*(capita*d) ⁻¹ across issues in rural areas of China was implemented in 2018, air Referring to the multiple targe (i.e., domestic waste sorting, reveals the current situation geographic networks by dist disposal behaviors among ne the pro-environmental waste influence of geographic network spatial analysis, which prov communities and the enhance Methodology | lution, threaten public health, and hinder rural economor or since 2004 (World Bank, 2005), China produced m the in 2017, of which at least 40% was dumped oper Moreover, the generation rates of rural solid waster is rural regions and have shown an accelerating trend a, the "Three-year Action Plan for Rural Living Environ ning at the construction of integrated sustainable was as of this plan, our study integrates four different wast agricultural waste disposal, sewage collection, and and challenges of rural waste treatment. Besides ances among households and highlights the interdu- ighbors. Given these, one main objective of this pape e disposal behavior of one household influences orks on waste disposal behaviors is formally proposed ides a sound reference for the establishment of ement of cooperation across rural areas. | ore than roughly 175 and burnt illegally anged between 0.25 . To overcome these ment Improvement" ate disposal systems. the disposal indicators toilet retrofitting) and s, this study defines ependence of waste er is to verify whether others nearby. The and examined using waste management 100 – 250 words |
| structure. Particularly, Bayes rapid development in spatia | have been developed to model binary choice out ian Estimation with Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCN I limited dependent variable models because of th g., no numerical integration required by traditional | MC) has experienced ne relative flexibility, |



Pace, 2009). Regarding the improvement of Bayesian estimation of spatial limited dependent variable models in various research fields, see for example LeSage (2011) on business, Arima (2016) and Krisztin et al. (2020) on land use change, Zeng et al. (2019) on freeway crash severity, Abdul Mumin et al. (2022) on the diffusion of agricultural technology, and so forth. Nevertheless, only a few empirical studies have examined the spatial dependence on waste disposal behaviors by placing an emphasis on Spatial Econometrics, which will be investigated in this study by estimating a spatial probit model with Bayesian techniques. In addition, due to the nature of non-linearity and spatial dependence in the spatial autoregressive probit model, the magnitude of estimated parameters cannot reflect the change in the probability of waste disposal choices when an explanatory variable changes by one unit. Following the definition of marginal effects by LeSage and Pace (2009), relevant marginal effects are estimated further to interpret the results. Additionally, the dataset used in this research is from the Survey on Ecological conservation and high-quality rural development in the Yellow River Basin in 2020.

Results

100 – 250 words

Our analyses suggest that more than one-third of households do not separate domestic waste or collect sewage, or dispose of agricultural waste properly. At the same time, more than half of the households do not replace dry toilets with flush toilets. These results highlight the challenges of implementing the "Three-year Action Plan for Rural Living Environment Improvement". Besides, the empirical results suggest that spatial interdependence should be considered when studying household waste disposal behaviors. Importantly, the strength of spatial interdependence varies under different distances for different waste disposal behavior indicators. Apart from these, different waste disposal behaviors are influenced to varying degrees by explanatory variables. Firstly, family size and education level only significantly affect the probability of domestic waste sorting in a positive way. Secondly, higher annual income drives an increased probability of performing well in agricultural waste disposal, sewage collection, and toilet retrofitting, but not in waste sorting. Meanwhile, incentive measures could significantly increase the probability of public participation in sewage collection and toilet retrofitting, meaning that environmental governance can potentially motivate people to engage in sustainable waste management. Surprisingly, high governance appreciation leads to a significant decrease in the probability of waste sorting. Furthermore, stronger social networks increase the probability of sewage collection and toilet retrofitting, while this is not the case for domestic waste sorting and agricultural waste disposal. Additionally, a negative influence of high settlement density on sewage collection and toilet retrofitting was found.

Discussion and Conclusion

100 – 250 words

Using a framework based on the theory of behavioral contagion, this paper emphasizes the need to distinguish between geographic networks and social networks and reveals the positive impact of geographic networks on waste disposal behaviors. The results provide important insights as regards policy making. Firstly, given the importance of geographic networks, policymakers need to recognize the power of behavioral contagion, which can further encourage them to use this as a tool and improve household waste management by giving examples of good waste treatment behavior in buildings and areas and strengthening the cooperation across regions. Secondly, considering the mismatch between the general willingness and actual waste disposal behaviors, it is critical to enhance self-regulation and citizen engagement in waste management through providing relevant information and knowledge, investing in education, and publicizing the importance of individual responsibility in environmental protection. Furthermore, promoting the awareness of public participation in waste management is crucial to avoid motivation crowding-out effects when authorities design and implement incentive measures. Meanwhile, the relatively low settlement density in rural areas requires local authorities to adopt decentralized waste management systems rather than centralized waste ones. Apart from these, both sewage collection and toilet retrofitting are capital-intensive waste disposal systems, which gives rise to similarities between influencing mechanisms. This finding can inspire policymakers to establish linkages and create synergies between waste management systems, thus improving waste management efficiently.

