Extended Abstract

Paper/Poster Title	Promoting healthy and sustainable diets in Scotland: Insights from agent-based simulations

Abstract prepared for presentation at the 96ᵗʰ Annual Conference of the Agricultural Economics Society, K U Leuven, Belgium

4ᵗʰ – 6ᵗʰ April 2022

Abstract	*200 words max*

The poor standard of Scottish diets in terms of healthiness and sustainability keep causing concerns due to the limited success of policy interventions. While trade-offs between different food characteristics considered by consumers, and households' budgetary constraints can represent obstacles, social networks are critical for disseminating influence and could thus help achieve more positive outcomes. We develop an agent-based model of consumers heterogeneous in food preferences who interact via household and workplace networks. Consumers maximise a multi-attribute utility function under budgetary and minimum caloric constraints, and update their preferences by observing others' choices. We assess the impact of information campaigns, regulatory and market-based interventions during implementation and after their end. We find that campaigns have a persisting impact on preferences but this is barely translated into actual dietary change. In turn, the removal of unhealthy or unsustainable options from workplace canteens, their taxation, or the subsidisation of healthy and sustainable options generate statistically significant benefits on diets, which nevertheless do not persist after these interventions end. The subsidy has a slight, persisting impact at a high costs for public finances. The most effective approach is represented by a policy mix, but further simulations are needed to identify the best design.

Keywords	agent-based model; food preferences; healthy and sustainable diets; price intervention; social networks
JEL Code	**D91** Micro-Based Behavioural Economics: Role and Effects of Psychological, Emotional, Social, and Cognitive Factors on Decision Making; **Q18** Agricultural Policy; Food Policy

Introduction	*100 – 250 words*

The poor standards of the average Scottish diet in terms of healthiness and sustainability have caused public concern during the last 20 years. Improving diets at population level is challenging because there is an unconscious and subjective trade-off in food choices in terms of healthiness, sustainability, price, and other aspects such as convenience and taste. The various policies and interventions for improving diets have achieved only partial success, not least because they failed to simultaneously consider these trade-offs and the tight budgetary constraints especially for poorer households. In turn, social networks are critical for disseminating influence and establishing desired social norms, and can thus help achieve long-term behavioural change and improve the success of policy interventions, including in the food domain. The people we eat with and whose food choices we can directly observe, which we call "eating networks", have the most influence on our food behaviours. Agent-based

models (ABM) are well suited to simulate consumers with heterogenous preferences who interact among themselves, deriving individual and population-level trajectories and long-term outcomes. Therefore, ABM can be used to assess the impact of policy interventions without bearing the sizeable economic, environmental, and social costs of policy trials. We develop a theoretical ABM and calibrate it using data on the Scottish population and insights from the literature. We develop case studies corresponding respectively to the baseline dynamic of the model and the implementation of information campaigns, regulatory, market-based, or joint interventions aimed at promoting healthier and more sustainable diets.

Methodology	*100 – 250 words*

We develop an agent-based model of consumers making food choices at home and at work (or school), and calculate the sustainability and healthiness of their weekly diets in a baseline situation and after the introduction of different interventions. The agent belong to two networks (their household and, if employed, their workplace colleagues) and have heterogeneous food preferences. They choose their meals three times a day by maximising a multi-attribute utility function under a budgetary and a minimum caloric constraint. Meal attributes are price, convenience, taste, quantity, healthiness, and sustainability. At home, they maximise the joint utility of all the household members, while in workplace canteens they make individual choices. Their preferences evolve based on past choices and observation of others' food choices at work. Agents' uncertainty about actual food characteristics and systematic behavioural biases are included in the model. The agents are initialised as representative of the Scottish population in terms of demographic and socio-economic characteristics, and their preferences for specific attributes are assumed to follow distributions specific for their demographic and socio-economic group, derived from the literature. We construct a set of 50 real-world meal options, with attributes. The interventions tested include removal of unhealthy and unsustainable food choices from workplace canteens; information campaigns targeting the overall population; taxation of unhealthy and/or unsustainable meal choices; subsidisation of healthy and/or sustainable choices; and joint implementation of all of these.

Results	*100 – 250 words*

For each scenario, we simulate 100 populations of 1,000 agents along one year. The interventions are introduced at week 11 and removed at week 45, to assess their impact both during implementation and after removal. Due to an initial period of "learning", agents' preferences and diets stabilise at levels more favourable to healthiness and sustainability even in the baseline; therefore, a difference-in-differences evaluation is made. Information campaigns affect preferences positively. Due to trade-offs, the impact on diets is limited; nevertheless, this is more persisting than other interventions. Removing unhealthy and unsustainable meals from canteens generates a short-term positive effect, larger for employed people. However, after removing the constraint, agents' diets do not differ significantly from before. Subsidisation generates the best outcome during implementation, and a small but statistically significant impact after removal. The monetary benefit for the households is sizeable, but this comes at high costs for the public finances (£145/person/year). Taxing unhealthy and unsustainable food generates a short-term improvement, followed by a progressive deterioration which, together with the drop-off after tax withdrawal, results in outcomes worse than before. The tax generates a revenue of £34/person/year, and the burden is relatively larger for large and deprived households. Finally, a mix of all the above

policies achieves the best outcomes. Despite a drop-off after the measures are stopped, the increases in the indexes are 0.3 and 0.7-point larger than in the baseline. The combination of taxes and subsidies generates a cost for the public finances (£139/person/year) and savings for consumers.

| **Discussion and Conclusion** | *100 – 250 words* |

Our results suggest that to promote healthier and more sustainable diets, policymakers should strike a balance between different typologies of interventions. Suasive interventions (information campaigns) generate an impact on consumers' awareness and thus preferences for sustainable and healthy food that persists in the long run, but this is seldom translated into consistent behaviour due to trade-offs with other food characteristics and budget constraints. Market-based interventions that change the relative cost of different options, or regulatory ones that remove unwanted options altogether, have a sizeable short-term effect, but this does not persist after the interventions are removed, with the partial exception of subsidies. Furthermore, the issues of cost effectiveness and of fairness of the interventions should not be neglected. Although avoided healthcare costs are not factored in the simulations, we estimated the interventions' impact on public finances and on different types of households. Due to redirection of consumer choices towards subsidised products, subsidies can be costly for the public finances, but benefit deprived and large households relatively more. For the opposite reason, the public revenue of a tax is comparatively small, and the burden is relatively large for deprived and large households. Ideally, policymakers could combine population-level campaigns with regulatory interventions in social settings like workplace canteens, and use market-based interventions (taxes/subsidies) only for targeting extremely undesirable or very beneficial but unpopular food options. Further simulations are needed to identify the best policy designs and better appreciate network effects.

AES